1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Daniel J. Hayes Jake A. Schmidt Kevin A. Wisniewski Eric M. Phillips U.S. SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION 175 West Jackson Blvd., Suite 1450 Chicago, Illinois 60604 Telephone: (312) 353-3368 Facsimile: (312) 353-7398 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States Securities and Exchange Commission		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12			
13	IN RE: VOLKSWAGEN "CLEAN DIESEL" MARKETING, SALES	MDL No. 2672 CRB (JSC)	
14 15	PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER ON AMENDED COMPLAINT	
16			
17	This Document Relates To:	Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer	
18			
19	<i>U.S. S.E.C. v. Volkswagen AG,</i> No. 3:19-cv-1391-CRB		
20			
21			
22			
23	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"); Defendants Volkswagen AG,		
24	Volkswagen Group of American Finance, LLC, and VW Credit, Inc. ("VW"); and Defendant Martin		
2 4 25	Winterkorn (collectively, the "Parties"), through their undersigned counsel, hereby agree and stipulate		
	as follows:		
26	WHEREAS, the SEC filed its Complaint in this matter on March 14, 2019;		
27			
28	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER 1 No. 3:19-cv-1391-CRB		

1 WHEREAS, VW and Winterkorn filed motions to dismiss certain claims in the Complaint on 2 April 10, 2020; 3 WHEREAS, the SEC notified the Court, as well as VW and Winterkorn, by letter dated August 4 19, 2020, of an error in the Complaint and that it intended to seek permission to file an Amended 5 Complaint within 14 days after the Court ruled on the motions to dismiss; 6 WHEREAS, the Court entered an Order on August 20, 2020, denying Winterkorn's motion to 7 dismiss and granting in part VW's motion to dismiss; 8 WHEREAS, in accordance with Section II.2 of the Court's General Standing Order for Civil 9 and Criminal Cases, attached hereto as Exhibits 1 and 2, respectively, are a clean copy of the proposed 10 Amended Complaint and a redlined copy of the proposed Amended Complaint comparing it to the 11 Complaint; and 12 WHEREAS, the SEC's proposed Amended Complaint does not reallege the dismissed claims 13 or the allegations related solely to those claims (collectively, "Dismissed Claims"), based on the 14 Parties' understanding and agreement that the Dismissed Claims need not be realleged in order to 15 preserve them for appeal, in accordance with Lee v. Maricopa County, 693 F.3d 896, 928 (9th Cir. 16 2012) (en banc) ("For claims dismissed with prejudice and without leave to amend, we will not require 17 that they be repled in a subsequent amended complaint to preserve them for appeal."); see also 18 Bronson v. Johnson and Johnson, Inc., No. C 12-04184 CRB, 2013 WL 5731817, at *3 (N.D. Cal. 19 Oct. 22, 2013) (dismissing claims realleged in amended complaint that were previously dismissed with 20 prejudice because "litigants are not required to reallege dismissed claims in a subsequent amended 21 complaint in order to preserve the right to appeal dismissal."). 22 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the Parties, as 23 follows: 24 The SEC may file its proposed Amended Complaint, without realleging the Dismissed Claims. 25 The SEC has not waived and has preserved its right to later appeal the Court's dismissal of the 26 Dismissed Claims. 27 28

1	VW and Winterkorn shall have 42 days from the date this Stipulation and Proposed Order is		
2	entered by the Court in which to answer the Amended Complaint;		
3	Nothing herein shall affect or delay the progress of discovery, including the	Nothing herein shall affect or delay the progress of discovery, including the exchange of Rule	
4	26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures.		
5	This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts, and electronic or facsimile signatures shall		
6	be deemed equivalent to original signatures.		
7	7 IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
8	8 Dated: September 3, 2020 Respectfully submitted,		
9			
10	Daniel J. Hayes		
11	Jake A. Schmidt Kevin A. Wisniewski		
12	Eric M. Phillips U.S. SECURITIES & EXCHANGE		
13	13 COMMISSION		
14	175 West Jackson Blvd., Suite 1450 Chicago, Illinois 60604		
15			
16			
17		ion	
18	18		
19	19 /s/Robert J. Giuffra, Jr. Robert J. Giuffra, Jr. (admitted pro hac	vica)	
20	Sharon L. Nelles (admitted pro hac vice	/	
21	Suhana S. Han (admitted pro hac vice) Matthew A. Schwartz (admitted pro ha	c vice)	
22	SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP 125 Broad Street		
23			
24	Facsimile: (212) 558-3588		
25	Attorneys for Defendants Volkswagen A		
26	Volkswagen Group of America Finance and VW Credit, Inc.	P, LLC	
27			
28	28 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER 3		

1	/s/Christopher J. Stanley
1	Gregory P. Joseph (admitted pro hac vice) Peter R. Jerdee (admitted pro hac vice)
2	Christopher J. Stanley (admitted pro hac vice)
3	JOSEPH HAGE AARONSON LLC 485 Lexington Avenue, 30th Floor
4	New York, NY 10017
5	Telephone: (212) 407-1222 Facsimile: (212) 407-1269
6	Attorneys for Defendant Martin Winterkorn
7	Attorneys for Defendant Martin withterkorn
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	* * *
14	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED
15	
16	DATED: , 2020
17	CHARLES R. BREYER
18	United States District Judge
	United States District Judge
	Officed States District Judge
19	Officed States District Judge
19 20	Officed States District Judge
19 20 21	Officed States District Judge
19 20 21 22	Office States District Judge
19 20 21 22 23	Office States District Judge
19 20 21 22 23 24	Office States District Judge
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Clined States District stage
19 20 21 22 23 24	Clined States District vadge
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Cinica States District raage
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER 4

1	ATTESTATION (CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3))		
2	In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this		
3	document has been obtained from the signatories.		
4			
5	Dated: September 3, 2020 /s/Daniel J. Hayes		
6	Daniel J. Hayes		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER NO. 3:19-CV-1391-CRB		